

## 4. Discussion

In the theoretical explanation of the pressure dependence of  $T_c$  our approach is similar to those of Novaković [6] and Blinc and Žekš [7], but our derivation is based on Kobayashi's dynamic theory [9, 10] which at present seems to be the most satisfactory theory for  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$ -type ferroelectrics. In this theory the total Hamiltonian is of the form  $\hat{H} = H_P + H_L + H_{PL}$ ,  $H_P$  describing the proton tunneling motion in the double minimum potentials along the O-H...O bonds,  $H_L$  the lattice vibrations, and  $H_{PL}$  the coupling between tunneling motion and lattice vibrations. The tunneling term is generally expressed as [5]

$$H_P = -2\Omega \sum_l X_l - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l'l''} J_{l'l''} Z_l Z_{l''}, \quad (1)$$

$X_l$  and  $Z_l$  being components of the pseudo-spin,  $\Omega$  the tunneling energy, and  $J_{l'l''}$  the parameters of the proton-proton coupling which favours the formation of the ferroelectric state.

The transition temperature  $T_c$  is defined as the temperature at which the frequency of the ferroelectric mode, which is a coupled proton tunneling and optical lattice vibration mode, tends to zero.  $T_c$  is determined by the equation [9, 10]

$$4\Omega - J \tanh \frac{\Omega}{kT_c} = 0, \quad (2)$$

where  $J = \sum_{l'} J_{l'l'} + J_L$  and  $k$  is Boltzmann's constant. The part  $J_L$  which results from the proton-lattice coupling has been explicitly given by Kobayashi [9] and Cochran [10]. For  $J_L = 0$  equation (2) reduces to the equation for  $T_c$  in the molecular-field approximation of the tunneling model [12]. This approximation has been used by Novaković [6] for his investigation assuming  $J$  to be pressure-independent.

In the case of pressure application, the distance  $2\zeta$  between the two equilibrium sites in the double minimum potential is reduced, the values  $\Omega$  and  $J$  are varying, resulting in a variation of  $T_c$ . Hence we have

$$\frac{dT_c}{dp} = \left( \frac{\partial T_c}{\partial J} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \zeta} + \frac{\partial T_c}{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \zeta} \right) \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial p}. \quad (3)$$

From equation (2) we derive

$$\frac{\partial T_c}{\partial J} = \frac{k}{4} \left( \frac{T_c}{\Omega} \sinh \frac{\Omega}{kT_c} \right)^2 \quad (4)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial T_c}{\partial \Omega} = -\frac{T_c}{\Omega} \left( \frac{kT_c}{2\Omega} \sinh \frac{2\Omega}{kT_c} - 1 \right) \leq 0. \quad (5)$$

The dependence of  $J$  on  $\zeta$  is known from the papers of Blinc et al. [7, 8] and Kobayashi [9]:  $J \sim \zeta^2$ , thus  $dJ/d\zeta = 2J/\zeta$ . For the simple double minimum potential composed of the potentials of two harmonic oscillators (mass  $m$ ,